

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,940	01/17/2001	Brian Thornton	BT5302	6173	
75	90 04/29/2002				
Mark Rogers			EXAMINER		
Speed & Rogers, P.A. Suite 125 1701 Centerview			EVANS, ROBIN OCTAVIA		
Little Rock, AR 72211			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3752		
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Appli	cant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/761,940	ТНО	THORNTON, BRIAN			
		Examiner	Art U	nit			
		Robin O. Evans	3752				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the second s	pears on the cover s	heet with the corresp	ondence addi	ress		
A SHO THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howeve ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be ( (6) MONTHS from the mail ecome ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ing date of this con S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	April 2002 .					
2a) <u></u> □	,	his action is non-fina					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for for	nal matters, prosect 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.	ition as to the G=213.	merits is		
=	on of Claims		000 O.B. 11, 400 O.	0. 210.			
	Claim(s) 1-10 and 16-18 is/are pending in the		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considerat	ion.				
, —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-10 and 16-18 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		ant				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers	or election requirem	em				
• •		er					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
1	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) 🔀 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTC Notice of Informal Patent Other:	0-413) Paper No( Application (PT	s) O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/761,940

Art Unit: 3752

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I: 10-10 and 16-18 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. 1. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 2. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Truong. 3.

Truong shows a dispenser having a tee connector 31, upper opening, lower chamber, cylinder 42 secured to the upper opening, an upper chamber formed by cylinder 42, the upper chamber having a lower portion 43, plurality of side openings 44, plurality of bottom openings 45 and the lower portion of the lower chamber being unobstructed across its length as seen in figure 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/761,940

Art Unit: 3752

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-10, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truong in view of Hsu.

Truong shows all of the claimed limitations including an upstream opening and a downstream opening but does not show a powered valve connected to the openings. Hsu shows powered valves in the form of solenoid valves 11 and 14 connected before and after a tee connector 10, which mixes one flow with another flow. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added a powered solenoid valves like the ones shown by Hsu to the device of Truong so as to be able to control the flow and the use of the device in an automatic manner.

As to claim 17 and the limitation of the tee connector having a first reducer bushing and a second reducer bushing, since the tee connector shown is disclosed as being connected to an existing sprinkler system, (see column 2, lines 42-43) the connector will have some type of connecting feature and it is deemed that the type of connection used will be determined by the user depending on the pipe system being connected thereto. Therefore it would have been obvious to use reducer bushings to connect the tee fitting to and existing pipe system with a pipe size smaller than the tee connector.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. King, Sr., Buchan et al., Buchan, Bayley, Maglio et al. and Zuriel all show devices in the general state of the art of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,940

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin O. Evans whose telephone number is (703) 305-5766. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00 and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7766 for regular communications and (703) 308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Robin O. Evans Primary Examiner

Libin O. Evans

Art Unit 3752

roe

April 25, 2002